International Journal of Advanced Research in Engineering Technology & Science
ISSN: 2349-2819
Email: editor@ijarets.org Volume-9, Issue-6 June – 2022 www.ijarets.org

An examination of one or more queuing models using a portable server

Abhishek Kumar

M.Phil, Roll No: 141415

Session: 2014-15

University Department of Mathematics

B.R.A Bihar University, Muzzaffarpur

Abstract

In this review, we have considered a mass help queueing model with removable serve. We have Dissects a Markovian queueing model with a solitary server and units/client serve in bunches. The server is taken out from the system when the system becomes vacant for a length which is dramatically circulated haphazardly. We determine the time subordinate arrangement of mean number of appearances in the system or precisely 'I' client show up in the system. The review state arrangement is likewise talked about.

Keywords: *Server, Markovian Queueing model, Queueing Model, System*

Introduction

Queueing theory was brought into the world in the mid 1900s with crafted by A. K. Erlang of the Copenhagen Phone Organization, who inferred a few significant equations for Tele traffic designing that today bear his name. The scope of utilizations has developed to incorporate telecommunications and software engineering, yet in addition fabricating, aviation authority, military operations, design of amusement parks, and numerous different regions that include administration systems whose requests are arbitrary Queueing models are exceptionally valuable to give essential structure to productive design and examination of a few reasonable circumstances including different specialized systems likewise expectations the way of behaving of system like waiting times of clients, different get-aways for servers, etc. Queueing systems with server excursions have additionally found wide relevance in PC and communication organization and a few other designing systems. Such queueing circumstances might emerge in many constant systems like telecommunication, information/voice transmission, producing system, and so forth.

In PC communication systems, messages which are to be sent could comprise of an irregular number of parcels. Excursion models are made sense of by their booking disciplines, as indicated by which when a help stops, a get-away beginnings. These expectations assist us with expecting circumstances of the system and to go to suitable lengths to abbreviate the line. In the majority of the queueing models, administration starts quickly when the clients show up. Be that as it may, a portion of the actual systems where inactive servers will leave the system for a few other continuous undertakings alluded as get-away.

The substance of queueing theory is that it considers the irregularity of the appearance cycle and the arbitrariness of the help cycle In the writing depicted above, client between appearance times and client care times are expected to follow specific likelihood disseminations with fixed boundaries.

This paper considered a queueing model where the server might be taken out from the help office for a dramatic irregular time '□' where there is no client in the line. The time subordinate arrangement of the number of appearances and takeoffs in the system are acquired and at last the consistent state arrangement of the equivalent are likewise determined.

Notations

$P_{ij}k(t)$: Probability that there are exactly i arrivals and j departures at time t and the server is on removed state.

$P_{ij}B(t)$: Probability that there are exactly i arrivals and j departures at time t and the server is busy.

$P_{ij}(t)$: Probability that there are exactly i arrivals and j departures by time ‘t’ $i \geq j \geq 0$.

Initial Condition

$P_{0R}(0) = 1$
$P_{00B}(0) = 0$

The difference-difference-differential equation governing the system is

$P_{iiR}(t) = -\lambda\, P_{iiR}(t) + \mu\, P_{i,i-1,B}(t)$ .... (1)

$P_{i,jR}(t) = -(\lambda+\theta)\, P_{ijR}(t) + \lambda\, P_{i-1,jR}(t)$ .... (2)

$P_{i,jB}(t) = -(\lambda+\mu)\, P_{ijB}(t) + \lambda\, P_{i-1,j,B}(t) + \mu\, P_{ij-1,B}(t) + \theta\, P_{ijR}(t) \quad i > j \geq 0$ .... (3)
$P_{ij}(t) = P_{ijR}(t) + P_{ijB}(t)$

Taking Laplace transformation of equation 1, 2 and 3

$$S\, \overline{P}_{iiR}(s) + \lambda\, \overline{P}_{iiR}(s) = 1 + \mu\, \overline{P}_{ii-1,B}(s)$$

$$\overline{P}_{iiR}(s) = \frac{1}{S+\lambda}(1 + \mu\, \overline{P}_{i,i-1,B})$$

$$\overline{P}_{00R}(s) = \frac{1}{S+\lambda} \qquad \text{.. (4)}$$

$$S\, \overline{P}_{i,jR}(s) - \overline{P}_{ijR}(0) = -(\lambda+\theta)\overline{P}_{ijR}(s) + \lambda\, \overline{P}_{i-1,jR}(s)$$

$$(S+\lambda+\theta)\, \overline{P}_{ijR}(s) = \lambda\, \overline{P}_{i-1,j,R}(s) + \overline{P}_{ijR}(0) \;\; (\lambda\, \overline{P}_{i-1,j,R}(s) + \overline{P}_{ijR}(0))$$

$$\overline{P}_{ijR}(s) = \frac{1}{(S+\lambda+\theta)}$$

$$\overline{P}_{1,0,R}(s) = \frac{\lambda}{(S+\lambda)(S+\lambda+\theta)}$$

$$\overline{P}_{2,0,R}(s) = \lambda^2 \frac{1}{(S+\lambda)(S+\lambda+\theta)^2}$$

$$\overline{P}_{i0,R}(s) = \lambda^i \frac{1}{(S+\lambda)(S+\lambda+\theta)^i}$$

$$\overline{P}_{i0R}(s) = \lambda^i\, \overline{\beta}_{ij}^{\lambda(\lambda+\theta)}(s)$$

$$\overline{P}_{ijR}(s) = \lambda^i\, \mu \frac{1}{(S+\lambda)(S+\lambda+\theta)}\left(\frac{\mu}{S+\lambda}\right)^{S_{ij}} \overline{P}_{i,j-1,B}(s)$$

$$\overline{P}_{ijR}(s) = \lambda^{i}\,\mu\,\overline{\beta}_{ij}^{\lambda(\lambda+\theta)}(s)\left(\frac{\mu}{S+\lambda}\right)^{\delta_{ij}}\overline{P}_{i,j-1,B}(s)$$

$$s\,\overline{P}_{ijB}(s) + (\lambda+\mu)\overline{P}_{ijB}(s) = \lambda\,\overline{P}_{i-1,j,B}(s) + \mu\,\overline{P}_{i,j-1,B}(s) + \theta\,\overline{P}_{ijR}(s) + \overline{P}_{i,j,B}(0)$$

$$\overline{P}_{ijB}(s) = \frac{1}{S+\lambda+\mu}[\lambda\,\overline{P}_{i-1,j,B}(s) + \mu\,\overline{P}_{i,j-1,B}(s) + \theta\overline{P}_{ijR}(s) + \overline{P}_{i,j,B}(0)] \quad \text{.......... (5)}$$

$$\overline{P}_{i,0,B}(s) = \left(\frac{1}{S+\lambda+\mu}\right)\frac{\lambda\theta}{(S+\lambda)(S+\lambda+\theta)}$$

$$\overline{P}_{2,0,B}(s) = \frac{\theta\lambda^{2}}{(S\_\lambda)(S+\lambda+\mu)(S+\lambda+\theta)^{2}}$$

$$\overline{P}_{i,0B}(s) = \frac{\theta\,\lambda^{i}}{(S+\lambda)(S+\lambda+\mu)(S+\lambda+\theta)^{i}}$$

$$\overline{P}_{ijB}(s) = \left(\frac{\lambda^{i}}{(S+\lambda+\mu)^{i}}\right)\{\lambda^{i}\,\mu\,\theta\,\overline{\beta}_{1,i,j}^{(\lambda+\mu)(\lambda+\theta)}(s)\}\left(\frac{\lambda^{i}\mu}{(S+\lambda+\mu)^{i+1}}\right)\overline{P}_{i,j-1,B}(s) \quad \text{.......... (6)}$$

Taking Laplace inverse transformation of equation 4, 5 and 6 we get

$P_{00}(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$

$P_{i0R}(t) = \lambda^{i}\,\beta_{1,i}^{(\lambda+\theta)}(t)$

$P_{i,jR}(t) = \lambda^{i}\,\mu\,\overline{\beta}_{ij}^{\lambda(\lambda+\theta)}(t)\,(\mu\,e^{-\lambda t})^{\delta_{ij}}\,P_{ij-1B}(t)$

$P_{ijB}(t) = \left\{\frac{e^{i(\lambda+\mu)}.t^{i-1}}{\lfloor S-1}\right\}[\lambda^{i}\mu\theta\overline{\beta}_{1,1,j}^{(\lambda+\mu)(\lambda+\theta)}(t)]\,.\left(\frac{\lambda^{i}\mu\,e^{-(\lambda+\mu)}t^{i}}{\lfloor i}\right)P_{i,j-1,B}(t)$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{i}\overline{P}_{ijR}(s) + \overline{P}_{i,j,B}(s) = \frac{1}{S} \text{ and } \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{i}P_{ijR}(t) + P_{ijB}(t) = 1$$

Hence the verification.

1) Exactly i units arrive in time‘t’ are

$$\overline{P}_{i0}(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{i}\overline{P}_{iiR}(s) + \overline{P}_{ijB}(s)\ (1-\delta_{ij})$$

$$\overline{P}_{i0}(s) = \frac{1}{(S+\lambda)}\left(\frac{\lambda}{S+\lambda}\right)^{i}$$

$$\overline{P}_{i0}(s) = \frac{\lambda^{i}}{(S+\lambda)^{i+1}}$$

$$P_{i0}(t) = \frac{(\lambda\, t)^i}{\underline{|i}}\, e^{-\lambda t}$$

The total number of arrivals is not affected by removal period θ of the server & the arrivals follow a Poisson Distribution. The mean number of arrivals in time 't' is

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i\, \overline{P}_{i0}(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i \left\{ \frac{\lambda^i}{(S+\lambda)^{i+1}} \right\} ;\; i \geq 0$$

$$= \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{S^2} \right\} (6)$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i\, P_{i0}(t) = \{\lambda\, t\}.$$

Conclusion

It is obvious from the equation that assuming the appearance rate is increments than line length is likewise increments. Likewise, obviously on the off chance that the assistance rate is increments than line length diminishes. Consequently, We determine the time subordinate arrangement of mean number of appearances in the system or precisely 'I' client show up in the system.

Reference

1. We derive the time dependent solution of mean number of arrivals in the system or exactly 'i' customer arrive in the system.
2. Aggarwal N.N. 1965. Some problem in the theory of reliability of queues, PhD Thesis, K.U.K.
3. Arora K.L. 1964. Two server bulk service Queueing process operation Research, Vol. 12, no. 2 PP 286-294.
4. Baba Y.1986. On the Mx/G/1 Queue with vacation time operation, Research letters vol. 5, No. 2 PP 93-98.
5. Tuteja, R.K.1966. A queueing problem with arrival correlated and finite no. of servers. J. Canad Operation R Soc., Vol. 4, p. 148.
6. Palaniammal.S(2004), "A study on Markovian Queueing models with bulk service and vacation", Ph.D, Dissertation, Bharathiar university, Coimbatore, Tamil nadu, India.
7. Takagi.H(1994),"M/G/1/N queues with server vacation and exhaustive service", Journal of operations research,Vol.42,926-939.
8. Neuts.M.F(1967),"A general class of bulk queues with Poisson input", Applied Mathematical and Statistics.
9. Medhi.J.H and Borthakur.A (1972), "On a two server Markovian queue with a general bulk service rule", Cahiers duecentre d‟ Etudes de Rechercheoperationnelle.

10. Chaudhry.M.L and Easton .G.D (1982),"The queueing systems Ek/ M(a,b)/1 and its numerical analysis", Computer and operations research.
11. Doshi.B.T(1986),"Queueing systems with vacations. A survey", Queueing systems.
12. AfthabBegum.M.I,(1996), "Queueing models with bulk service and vacation", Ph.D, Dissertation, Bharathiar university, Coimbatore, Tamil nadu, India.
13. Singla N and Garg PC (2014), Transient and numerical solution of a feedback queueing system with correlated departures, American Journal of Numerical Analysis.
14. Vaderna P and Elteto T (2006), Transient analysis of a queuing system with matrix-geometric methods, Next Generation Teletraffic and Wired/Wireless Advanced Networking Lecture Notes in Computer Science.
15. Monsellato A (2013), Transient analysis of a M/M/queue with discouragement and for the related embeded chain.
16. Grifiths JD, Leonenko GM and Williams JE (2013), The transient solution to M/Ek/1 queue. International Journal of Computer Applications.
17. Chan RH, Lee ST and Sun HW (2012), Boundary value methods for transient solutions of queueing networks with variant vacation policy, journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics.
18. Chan RH, Lee ST, Sun HW (2012), Boundary value methods for transient solutions of queueing networks with variant vacation policy, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics.
19. Ayyappan G and Shyamala S (2013), Time dependent solution of m [x]/g/1 queuing model with bernoulli vacation and balking, International Journal of Computer Applications.
20. Al-Seedy (2004), A transient solution of the non-truncated queue M/M/2 with balking and an additional server for longer queues (Krishnamoorthi discipline).